

## EXERCISE

LING WANG

**Problem 1.** Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|^2 : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $f$  is  $C^2$  near  $x = 0$ . Prove there is an inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\|x\|^2 = (x, x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

*Proof.* First, by the definition of  $f$  we know  $f'(x_0) = 2\|x_0\|$  and  $f''(x_0) = 2$ . Hence by Taylor expansion we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+y) &= f(x) + f'(x)y + \frac{1}{2}f''(\xi)(y, y), \\ f(x-y) &= f(x) - f'(x)y + \frac{1}{2}f''(\eta)(y, y). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y),$$

which is

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2.$$

Hence it is easy to show that there is an inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\|x\|^2 = (x, x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .  $\square$

**Problem 2.** Let  $X = L^p(\Omega)$ . Compute the Gateaux and Fréchet derivatives of the functional  $f(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $p > 1$  and the sub-differential  $\partial f(0)$  if  $p = 1$ .

*Proof.* First consider  $p > 1$ . For  $\forall h \in L^p(\Omega)$ , we have

$$f(u+th) = \int_{\Omega} |u+th|^p dx.$$

Hence the G-derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} df(u, h) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(u+th) \right|_{t=0} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u+th|^p dx \right|_{t=0} \\ &= p \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} h dx. \end{aligned}$$

We define  $A(u) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  as

$$A(u)h = df(u, h) = p \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-1} h dx.$$

We next show that  $u \mapsto A(u)$  is continuous at every  $u_0 \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(u) - A(u_0)\| &= \sup_{\|h\|_{L^p} \leq 1} |A(u)h - A(u_0)h| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|h\|_{L^p} \leq 1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| |u|^{p-1} - |u_0|^{p-1} \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |h|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| |u|^{p-1} - |u_0|^{p-1} \right|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u - u_0|^p dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \|u - u_0\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f$  is F-differentiable at  $u_0$  and

$$f'(u_0) = A(u_0).$$

For  $p = 1$ , we need to find all  $u^* \in X^*$  such that

$$f(u) \geq f(0) + \langle u^*, u \rangle \quad \forall u \in X.$$

Indeed, it is easy to see that for  $|k| \leq 1$

$$\langle u^*, u \rangle := \int_{\Omega} ku \, dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |u| \, dx.$$

Hence we have

$$\partial f(0) = \left\{ u^* \in X^* \mid \langle u^*, u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} ku \, dx, \quad |k| \leq 1. \right\}.$$

□

**Problem 3.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain and  $\phi(x, \xi), \frac{\partial \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be Carathéodory functions satisfying

$$\left| \frac{\partial \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi} \right| \leq b(x) + a|\xi|^r, \quad x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$$

$a > 0$  be a constant,  $b \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ . Prove the functional

$$f(u) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(x, u(x)) dx, \quad H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is F-differentiable and

$$\langle f'(u), h \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}(x, u(x)) h(x) dx, \quad h \in H^1(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* We first calculate the G-derivative of  $f$ . For  $\forall h \in H^1(\Omega)$ , we have

$$f(u + th) = \int_{\Omega} \phi(x, u(x) + th(x)) dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} df(u, h) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} f(u + th) \right|_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \phi(x, u(x) + th(x)) \right|_{t=0} dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \xi}(x, u(x)) h(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We define  $A(u) : H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$A(u)h = df(u, h) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}(x, u(x)) h(x) dx, \quad h \in H^1(\Omega).$$

Next, we show that  $A(u) \in \mathcal{L}(H^1(\Omega))$  and it is continuous respect to  $u$ , hence  $f$  is F-differentiable and  $f'(u) = A(u)$ . Indeed, it is clearly that  $A(u)h$  is linear respect to  $h$ . Estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |A(u)h| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}(x, u(x)) h(x) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{\partial \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}(x, u(x)) \right| |h(x)| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} b(x) |h(x)| dx + a \int_{\Omega} |u|^r |h(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |bh| dx \leq \|b\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}} \|h\|_{H^1},$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^r |h| dx \leq \|u\|_{H^1} \|h\|_{H^1}.$$

Hence,  $A(u) \in \mathcal{L}(H^1(\Omega))$ . By the Theorem 1.1.5 of course book, we have  $\frac{\partial \phi(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi}(\cdot, \cdot) : L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \rightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}$  is continuous, then we know  $A(u)$  is continuous respect to  $u$ .  $\square$

**Problem 4.** Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $t \rightarrow A(t) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  be continuous. Suppose that for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $A(t)$  is a Fredholm operator from  $X$  to  $Y$ , prove the Fredholm index  $\text{ind}(A(t))$  is independent of  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* We denote  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  be the Fredholm operator from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Then we know  $\text{ind} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is continuous. This can be proved by Theorem 4.6.7 in Kung-Ching Chang's *functional analysis*, which precisely state that if  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ , then there exists a  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that when  $S \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\|S\| < \varepsilon$ , we have

$$T + S \in \mathcal{F},$$

and

$$\text{ind}(T + S) = \text{ind}(T).$$

Then by the continuity of  $A(t)$  we know  $\text{ind}(A(t))$  is continuous. Since  $[0, 1]$  is connected, we have  $\text{ind}(A([0, 1]))$  is connected in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\text{ind}(A([0, 1]))$  is a constant, which implies that  $\text{ind}(A(t))$  is independent of  $t \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.** (1) Let  $a_i, x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $a_n \neq 0$ . Suppose  $R > 0$  such that all real roots of  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n = 0$  are contained in  $(-R, R)$ . Compute the degree  $\deg(f, [-R, R], 0)$ . We can also consider  $f$  as a continuous map from  $S^1 = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{R}P^1$  into itself. Compute  $\deg(f, S^1)$ .

(2) Let  $a_i, z \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $a_n \neq 0$ . Suppose  $R > 0$  such that all complex roots of  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + \cdots + a_nz^n = 0$  are contained in  $D(R) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < R\}$ . Compute the degree  $\deg(f, D(R), 0)$ . We can also consider  $f$  as a continuous map from  $S^2 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\} = \mathbb{C}P^1$ , the Riemannian sphere, into itself. Compute  $\deg(f, S^2)$ .

*Solution of (1).* We define the following homotopy of  $f$ :

$$F(x, t) : [-\tilde{R}, \tilde{R}] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, t) \mapsto a_nx^n + t(a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0),$$

where  $\tilde{R} > R$  is large enough such that  $F(\pm\tilde{R}, t) \neq 0$ . Hence by the homotopy invariance of degree and all real roots of  $f(x)$  are contained in  $(-R, R)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(f, [-R, R], 0) &= \deg(f, [-\tilde{R}, \tilde{R}], 0) \\ &= \deg(a_nx^n, [-\tilde{R}, \tilde{R}], 0) \\ &= \begin{cases} \text{sign}(a_n), & n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When we consider  $f$  as a continuous map from  $S^1$  into itself, it no needs to choose large  $\tilde{R}$ , hence we have

$$\deg(f, S^1) = \deg(a_nx^n, S^1) = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(a_n), & n \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

$\square$

*Solution of (2).* Similar to (1), and note that complex Jacobi of holomorphic function is the square of real Jacobi one, we have

$$\deg(f, D(R), 0) = \deg(a_nz^n, D(R), 0) = n.$$

Also,

$$\deg(f, S^2) = \deg(a_nz^n, S^2) = n.$$

$\square$

**Problem 6.** Let  $B = \left\{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sum_1^n |x_i|^2 \leq 1 \right\}$ . Assume that  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^2$  function such that

$$\nabla f(x) \cdot x = \sum_1^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} x_i \neq 0, \quad x \in \partial B$$

Determine the degree

$$\deg(\nabla f, B, 0), \quad \nabla f = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right) : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

*Solution.* Since  $\nabla f(x) \cdot x \neq 0, \forall x \in \partial B$ , we know

$$t(\nabla f(x) \cdot x)x + (1-t)\nabla f \neq 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \partial B \times [0, 1].$$

Then by the homotopy invariance of degree, we have

$$\deg(\nabla f, B, 0) = \deg((\nabla f(x) \cdot x)x, B, 0).$$

Since  $f$  is  $C^2$  on  $\partial B$ , we know  $\nabla f(x) \cdot x$  is continuous on  $\partial B$ . Then by  $\nabla f(x) \cdot x \neq 0, \forall x \in \partial B$ , we get either  $\nabla f(x) \cdot x > 0$  or  $\nabla f(x) \cdot x < 0, \forall x \in \partial B$ .

For  $\nabla f(x) \cdot x > 0, \forall x \in \partial B$ , we know

$$t + (1-t)\nabla f(x) \cdot x > 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \partial B \times [0, 1].$$

Hence

$$\deg((\nabla f(x) \cdot x)x, B, 0) = \deg(x, B, 0) = 1.$$

For  $\nabla f(x) \cdot x < 0, \forall x \in \partial B$ , we know

$$-t + (1-t)\nabla f(x) \cdot x < 0, \quad \forall (x, t) \in \partial B \times [0, 1].$$

Hence

$$\deg((\nabla f(x) \cdot x)x, B, 0) = \deg(-x, B, 0) = (-1)^n.$$

Combing above, we get

$$\deg(\nabla f, B, 0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \nabla f(x) \cdot x > 0, \\ (-1)^n, & \nabla f(x) \cdot x < 0. \end{cases}$$

□

**Problem 7.** Let

$$Q = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 = 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1\},$$

$$\partial Q = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 = 0, x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1\},$$

$$S = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_1 = 0, (x_2 - 1)^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}, \quad \phi : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

be continuous with  $\phi(x) = x, x \in \partial Q$ . Prove  $\phi(Q) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* First by the homotopy invariance of degree, we have

$$\deg(\phi, Q, 0) = (x, Q, 0) = 1 \neq 0.$$

Then by Kronecker existence theorem, we know  $\phi(Q) \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . □

**Problem 8.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded regular domain. Given some conditions on  $f : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the equation

$$-\Delta u = f(x, u, \nabla u), \quad x \in \Omega$$

$$u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega$$

possesses a solution  $u \in C^{2,\gamma}$ .

*Solution.* Assume that  $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$  and satisfies

(1) There exists an increasing function  $c : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$|f(x, \eta, \xi)| \leq c(|\eta|)(1 + |\xi|^2), \quad \forall (x, \eta, \xi) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

(2)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta}(x, \eta, \xi) \leq 0.$$

(3) Assume there exists a  $M > 0$  such that

$$f(x, \eta, \xi) = \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{if } \eta > M, \\ > 0, & \text{if } \eta < -M. \end{cases}$$

Then the equation possesses a unique solution in  $C^{2,\gamma}$ . For proof we refer to Theorem 1.2.10 in Kung-Ching Chang's *Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*.  $\square$

**Problem 9.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded regular domain with  $n \geq 3$  and  $2 < q < \frac{2n}{n-2}$ . Suppose  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi dx = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \phi dx, \quad \forall \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Prove that  $u$  is  $C^2$  via the  $L^p$  and  $C^\alpha$  estimate of  $-\Delta$ .

*Proof.* Choosing  $\phi = u$  in the integral equation and combing  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  yield

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^q dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx < +\infty,$$

i.e.  $u \in L^q(\Omega)$ . By definition,  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a weak solution to the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{q-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

with right hand side  $|u|^{q-2} u \in L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$ . Since  $\frac{q}{q-1} > 1$ , we have  $u \in W^{2, \frac{q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$  by  $L^p$  estimate of elliptic equations. Then by Sobolev imbedding we know  $u \in L^{\gamma q}(\Omega)$ , where  $\gamma = \frac{n}{nq-2q-n} > 1$  ( $2 < q < \frac{2n}{n-2}$  used here). This means  $|u|^{q-2} u \in L^{\frac{\gamma q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$ , then by  $L^p$  estimate again we have  $u \in W^{2, \frac{\gamma q}{q-1}}(\Omega)$ . Also, by Sobolev imbedding we have  $u \in L^{\gamma' \gamma q}(\Omega)$ , where  $\gamma' = \frac{n}{nq-2\gamma q-n}$ . Since  $\gamma > 1$ , it's easy to verify that  $\gamma' > \gamma$ . Then using Hölder inequality we get  $u \in L^{\gamma^2 q}(\Omega)$ . Repeating the above way, we can obtain  $u \in L^{\gamma^k q}(\Omega)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We choose a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\gamma^{k_0} q > \frac{n}{2}$ , hence the  $L^p$  estimate and Sobolev embedding yield  $u \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$ , where  $\alpha = 1 - \frac{n}{2\gamma^{k_0} q}$ , which implies  $|u|^{q-2} u \in C^\alpha(\Omega)$ . Finally, by classical Schauder estimate we get  $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega)$ . (Actually, we can obtain  $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ .)  $\square$

**Problem 10.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded regular domain and  $X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  with  $p > 1$ ,  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$  be continuous satisfying

$$|f(x, u)| \leq C(1 + |u|^\alpha), \quad (x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

$$\alpha < \frac{n+2}{n-2}, F(x, u) = \int_0^u f(x, s) ds.$$

(1) Prove the functional

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx$$

is w.s.l.s.c. in  $X$  ;

(2) compute the Euler-Lagrange equation of  $I(u)$ .

*Proof of (1).* We refer to Remark 4.3.11 in Kung-Ching Chang's *Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, and I think there should be  $1 < p < n$  and  $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{np}{n-p} - 1$ .  $\square$

*Solution of (2).* Choosing a test function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  yields

$$I(u + \varepsilon\varphi) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u + \varepsilon \nabla \varphi|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u + \varepsilon\varphi) dx.$$

Since  $u$  is the local minimizer, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} I(u + \varepsilon\varphi) \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the arbitrariness of  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ , we know the Euler-Lagrange equation of  $I(u)$  is

$$-\operatorname{div} (|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

$\square$

(L. Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.  
E-mail address: lingwang@stu.pku.edu.cn